▲ AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	Unite	D STATES DISTRICT CO	FILED OURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
		District of	Nebraska NEBRASKA	
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA		2010 JUL 22 PM 3: 43	
	v.	ORDER OF DE	TENTION PENDING FRIADRIK	
	LEON JESSE REYES	Case Number: 4:100	CR3069 VETIGE OF THE OLCIM	
•	Defendant Definition Act 18 VI	10 6 2142(8) - davandi in berning ber bern beld	Township do affect the Callegrains Green according the	
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.				
Part I—Findings of Fact (1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has been convicted of a federal offense state				
<u>п</u> (п)	or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had existed that is a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).			
	 an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in 			
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years of more is prescribed in			
	a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C.			
§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. (2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.				
(4)	(4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the			
	safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.			
Alternative Findings (A)				
[] (I)	There is probable cause to believe that the for which a maximum term of imprison and the Letter Co. 5 024(a)		•	
□ (2)	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c). The defendant has not rebutted the presumpthe appearance of the defendant as required	otion established by finding 1 that no condition or of and the safety of the community.	combination of conditions will reasonably assure	
١.,	Alternative Findings (B)			
(1) (2)	(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear. (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.			
/				
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention				
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by derance of the evidence that				
Past factures to appear				
Just by flight - No Connection to the Committeety;				
- 111 adaysias, no amprogram, past Ax 13 aris war				
to the ex	defendant is committed to the custody of the tent practicable, from persons awaiting or le opportunity for private consultation with	Art III—Directions Regarding Detention Attorney General or his designated representative serving sentences or being held in custody pend a defense counsel. On order of a court of the Unifacility shall deliver the defendant to the United is	ing appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a nited States or on request of an attorney for the	
in connection with a court proceeding.			/ /	
July 22, 2010		s/ Cheryl R. Z		
	Date	Signature of Jun	- / ·	
	_	Cheryl R. Zwart, U.S Name and Title of		

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).